Medical management of Benign Prostate Hyperplasia: New insights
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Abstract

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a very common disease of elderly men. The development of effective medical treatment of BPH has resulted in decreased need for invasive surgical interventions lately. In the initial stage, watchful waiting and lifestyle modification may be sufficient. There are a few treatment options which are available for treatment of BPH. Various strongly designed placebo controlled clinical trials like the Veterans Affair study (VA) study and the PREDICT study have shown the value of treating BPH in general population with monotherapy using an alpha blocker. But there is some evidence of superiority of combination therapy with an alpha blocker and 5 alpha reductive inhibitors in patients of BPH with large prostate sizes. Some newer drugs like NX-1207 and GNRH agonists, and some new techniques like Intraprostatic toxin type-A have also been studied for their usefulness in BPH. All of these new treatment options have shown promise in initial research.
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Introduction

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a medical condition which is very prevalent among elderly male population. Twenty percent of males of age 40 years or more suffer from BPH and the prevalence of BPH increases to ninety per cent in 90 years old men. (1) About half of the men with BPH experience moderate to severe lower urinary tract symptoms. (2) The symptoms of BPH are collectively defined as lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). LUTS can be subdivided into obstructive and irritative symptoms. Obstructive symptoms include urinary hesitancy, straining, weak flow, prolonged voiding, partial or complete urinary retention and urinary incontinence. The common irritative symptoms include urinary frequency, urgency, nocturia, dysuria, and decreased urinary void volume. (3) BPH is the primary cause of prostatic enlargement, which involves both the stromal and epithelial elements of the prostate. (4) BPH causes compression of prostatic urethra which leads to impaired voiding of urine. Histological picture of BPH shows discrete nodules, which are present in periurethral zone of the prostate gland. Interestingly, the symptom severity in BPH does not always correlate with degree of prostatic hyperplasia. (5) Moreover, LUTS is not unique to BPH. These symptoms can occur in many urological and non urological diseases unrelated to BPH. For example, prostate cancer, prostatitis, bladder cancer, bladder stones, overactive bladder (OAB), interstitial cystitis, and urinary tract infections LUTS. (6,7)
The American Urology Association (AUA) has given the scoring system for assessment of severity of urinary tract symptoms. The range of this score is from 0 to 35. The severity of symptoms are graded into mild (0-7), moderate (8-19) and severe (20-25). (8)

Both static and dynamic factors contribute towards the development of bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) in patients of BPH (9). The enlarged prostate gland in BPH results in static obstruction, whereas the dynamic component is due to tension in prostate smooth muscles. The modifications of these two component are targets of medical management of BPH. (10). Studies have shown that the prevalence, severity, and dissatisfaction with LUTS increases with age. Moreover, dissatisfaction with the urinary tract symptoms increases with increasing severity of LUTS. Severity of LUTS is also associated with poorer health quality and a greater prevalence of a person’s inability to perform daily activities. (11) BPH leads to considerable strain on healthcare of a country. In the year 2000, approximately 8 million health visits to physician offices in the US were made for primary and secondary diagnosis of BPH. Although it is a considerable increase from the number of physician office visits for this condition in 1990s, but the use of surgical procedures for BPH, inpatient hospitalization and length of hospital stay for this have decreased significantly during the same time. This trend is at least partly due to better medical management of BPH. Other factor which has contributed towards this changing trend is the use of minimally invasive therapies.(12)

Watchful waiting

Watchful waiting is recommended for those men who have mild symptoms (AUA symptom score ≤ or equal to 7) or for those with moderate to severe symptoms (AUA score > or equal to 8) who do not perceive their symptoms as particularly bothersome, and are not experiencing any complications of BPH. (13). In these patients, the risk of treatment, overweight the benefits, and treating these patients is not likely to improve their quality of life. Annual follow up is recommended for these patients for symptom progression. (14) Various treatment options should be discussed with these patients by their health care providers and the patients should be offered various treatment choices. (15)

Lifestyle modifications

Patients with bothersome LUTS which start affecting the quality of life, are recommended lifestyle modifications, like night time fluid restriction, timed bladder voiding, double voiding techniques, regular physical activities, avoiding caffeinated drinks and alcohol, and treatment of constipation. These lifestyle modifications may prevent or delay the progression of disease to the point of where medical or surgical therapy becomes essential. (16)

Alpha blockers

Alpha receptors are present on the smooth muscles of prostate, prostatic urethra and the bladder neck. Alpha blockers act by blocking these adrenoceptors, thus acting on dynamic component of BPH, and there by leading to decreasing the muscle tone and reduction in bladder obstruction.(16)

In the 1990s many randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled showed that finasteride, a 5-ARI, and terazosin, an α-blocker, significantly improved LUTS and increased peak urinary flow rates in men with BPH. Based on these reports, medical therapy for BPH was accepted and developed. (17,18,19) Over a period of many years, many strongly structured clinical trials confirmed the effectiveness of five α-blockers (terazosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, alfuzosin, and silodosin) and two 5-ARIs (finasteride and dutasteride). (22) This resulted in all these drugs being approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of BPH. Alpha blockers are used to treat patients with moderate to severe symptoms of BPH. All clinically Alpha blockers have comparable efficacy. It takes a few hours to a few days to increase urinary flow rate after intake of alpha blockers. (16, 20)

Non selective alpha blockers

Phenoxybenzamine is a non selective alpha blocker which blocks both alpha 1 and alpha 2 adrenoceptors. This was the first drug which was studied for treatment of BPH. But because of its non selective blockade of alpha adrenoceptors, the incidence of adverse effect is very high. Hence, it is no longer used for treatment for BPH these days. The 2010 update to AUA guidelines also mentions that there is insufficient evidence for recommending phenoxybenzamine for treatment of LUTS or BPH. (21)
Alpha-1 receptor blockers

A significant degree of LUTS in BPH occurs due to increased smooth muscle tension at the level of prostatic stroma, urethra and bladder neck. Alpha 1 adrenergic receptors mediate this smooth muscle tension. These receptors are specifically present at bladder neck, urethra and prostate; and not in other tissues. Alpha-1 receptor antagonists are further subdivided into short acting blockers and long acting blockers. Prazosin, alfuzosin and indoramin are short acting blockers; while Terazocin, doxazocin, slow release (SR) alfuzosin are long acting blockers. Doxazocin and terazocin are terrible alpha-blockers. Their efficacy is dose dependent. They are also more likely to show adverse affects at higher doses. The most common adverse effects are orthostatic hypotension, dizziness, fatigue, ejaculatory dysfunction and nasal congestion. (14) The Veterans Affair study (VA study) has confirmed the effectiveness of terazocin, an alfa blocker in relieving LUTS symptoms in BPH patients. In the same study, the effectiveness of finasteride has not been shown to be significantly better than placebo. Moreover, there was no benefit of combination therapy over alpha-blocker monotherapy. (23) The VA study was followed by the PREDICT study, in which doxazosin was used instead of terazocin. This study confirmed the findings of the VA study. In this study too, the Alpha blocker (doxazosin) was proved to be significantly more effective than placebo at relieving LUTS and increasing peak urinary flow rate. (24).

New α-Blockers

Newer α-blockers have been developed, some of which are longer-acting, and some are subtype-selective agents. All these changes have resulted in easier dosing regimens and reduced side effects while maintaining the effectiveness. (7). With the development of subtype-selective α-antagonists and novel formulations, a single, daily-dose administration without the requirement for dose titration has been made possible. (10). Alpha -antagonists have been divided into three subtypes α1A, α1B, and α1D. The α1A receptors are adrenocortico receptors primarily present in the prostate, α1B are found mainly in vasculature, and α1D receptors are present in the bladder and nerve junctions. (25). Silodosin has shown potential for use as selective α-adrenoceptor clinically. Silodosin exhibits very high selectivity for α1A versus α1B and modest selectivity for α1A versus α1D. Studies show that silodosin does not have any cardiovascular adverse effects. However the incidence of ejaculatory dysfunction for silodosin is higher than all other α-blockers. (26,27). It has been observed that patients who experience ejaculatory dysfunction also have the greatest efficacy of silodosin. (28). Thus it is a challenge to adjust the dose of silodosin to such a level so that maximum possible efficacy is obtained without getting ejaculatory dysfunction as a side effect.

Phosphodiesterase Type 5 (PDE5) Inhibitors

There is abundance of nitric oxide staining nerves in prostate. Moreover prostate smooth muscle tension is mediated by NO. (29,30). Laydner and colleagues (29) suggested alternative mechanism of PDE5 inhibitors, including endothelin inactivation, decrease in autonomic hyperactivity, and reduction of pelvic ischemia.

PDE5 inhibitors are safe and efficacious drugs used primarily for the treatment option for ejaculatory dysfunction (ED). (31). Among the commonly available PDE5 inhibitors, tadalafl has the longest half life of 36 hours. Tadalafil, 5 mg, is the only drug approved for daily administration for the treatment of ED. This feature makes tadalafl the most promising commercially available PDE5 inhibitor as a once-daily treatment of BPH/LUTS. (31)

Four large, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials have consistently shown the effectiveness of sildenafil, tadalafl, and vardenaf in men with LUTS and BPH. (32-35) All these studies consistently demonstrated that this class of drugs improves LUTS in men with BPH. (35).

Intraprostatic Botulinum Toxin Type A

Botulin toxin type A (BoNT-A) acts irreversibly at acetylcholinergic synapses to block the release of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. (36) Preliminary studies demonstrate durable improvements in overactive bladder (OAB) voiding symptoms after cystoscopy-guided injection. (37).

Ilie and colleagues have published the clinical studies investigating BoNT-A for the treatment of LUTS/BPH. BoNT-A is administered using transrectal ultrasound guidance, and injection is performed transperineally, transrectally, or transurethrally. Typically administered doses vary from 100 to 300 units depending on the size of the prostate. The procedure can be performed on an outpatient basis, and there is no need for Foley catheter drainage of the bladder after the procedure. (38)
Although, very impressive improvements in IPSS, peak flow rates, and prostate volume have been observed, the majority of reported BoNT-A clinical studies in men with LUTS/BPH was from small, single institutions and were not randomized or placebo controlled. (38) One placebo-controlled study demonstrated statistically significant treatment differences in both IPSS and uroflowmetric parameters. (39) Follow-up studies in this same cohort demonstrated durable responses at 12 months and beyond. (40)

**NX-1207**

NX-1207 is a new drug under investigation for the treatment of symptomatic BPH. NX-1207 has a proapoptotic effect on the prostate. (41) The drug is injected directly into the prostate as a single dose administration. Four clinical trials have shown improvement in LUTS exceeding that of all other medical therapies currently available for the treatment of BPH. These clinical benefits were maintained after single injection for a year. Phase III studies are underway to define the true efficacy, safety, and mechanism of action of this novel approach to treating BPH. (41)

**Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone (GnRH) Antagonists**

GnRH agonists reduce the volume of BPH by lowering serum and intraprostatic testosterone and dihydrotestosterone levels. This results in some modest clinical benefits related to improvements in LUTS. The primary disadvantages of GnRH agonists are their associated immediate and long-term adverse effects due to induction of castrate levels of testosterone.

A small, open-label study with the GnRH antagonist cetrorelix acetate demonstrated that short-term administration of the drug was associated with long-term improvement in LUTS and decreased prostate volume. (42) A phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled study in men with BPH/LUTS conducted in Eastern Europe demonstrated promising results. (43) Following this, phase III studies were conducted in the United States and Europe. In the US study, cetrorelix showed no statistically significant benefit in improving IPSS. In addition, the drug did not have a significant effect on peak flow rate or prostate volume versus placebo. (44) This result is in contrast to the favourable results of previous studies. A subsequent multicenter European trial also failed to show any treatment-related efficacy of cetrorelix. (45).

**Alpha Reductase Inhibitors (5 ARIs)**

The effectiveness of finasteride to control LUTS was proposed by studies conducted in 1990s. (17,18,19) These were confirmed by many randomised controlled trials which were done in the following years. But the VA trial and PREDICT trial failed to show effectiveness of 5 alpha reductase inhibitors as compared to placebo. (23,24)

The finasteride registration study, which enrolled men with disproportionately large prostate sizes, showed usefulness of 5 ARIs in controlling LUTS. There was a difference in the design of the VA trial and the finasteride registration study. Whereas the finasteride registration study was done on a population with very large prostates size (mean prostate size = 58.6 cm³), the VA study was conducted on men with BPH irrespective of the prostate size (mean prostate size = 37 cm³).

Finasteride and dutasteride suppress prostate growth by inhibiting conversion of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone. (46) Therefore in finasteride registration study it was expected that the subset most likely to respond to a drug whose mechanism of action is to reduce prostate size; and expectantly the study showed that it was most beneficial when size of prostate is 40 ml or greater. (47) Around six month of treatment is required to get clinical relief. (48)

Therefore, the findings of the VA study reflect the effectiveness of the evaluated medical therapies for all men with clinical BPH, whereas the findings of the finasteride registration study only reflect the effectiveness of medical therapy for BPH patients with large prostates.

The prostate cancer prevention trial has shown that the incidence of having high grade cancer is increased in patients receiving finasteride for more than seven years, but overall risk of prostate cancer is decreased in these patients. (49) Moreover, finasteride decreases prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels. So in order to screen patients for prostate cancer using PSA, the levels of PSA should be doubled to negate this effect. (50)

**Combination Therapy**

**α-Blocker and 5-ARI**

It has been conclusively proved by various two clinical trials including The VA Cooperative Trial
(23)and the PREDICT trial (24) that combining a 5-ARI with an α-blocker does not lead to additional clinical benefits in terms of decreasing LUTS or increase peak urinary flow in patients of BPH. While the VA trial used terazocin as an alpha blocker, the PREDICT trial replaced terazocin with doxazocin. In the PREDICT trial, the baseline volume of prostate was 36 grams which is very similar to that of the VA study.

The MTOPS trial showed combined effect of alpha inhibitors and 5 alpha reductase inhibitors is more effective than the monotherapy. But, MTOPS study design was very different from the VA study or the PREDICT study(51).

CombAT trial, (52) showed that dutasteride, is is more beneficial than an α-blocker. In CombAT trial study group was patients of BPH with large prostates. In fact, the prostate volume in the CombAT trial was 70% more than the MTOPS trial. In MTOPS trial progression to AUR and BPH surgery showed most favourable results for 5-ARI, so in CombAT trial these two primary end points were used.

The Symptom Management After Reducing Therapy (SMART-1) trial by Barkin and colleague (53) did a placebo controlled trial in which 327 men with BPH with large prostate sizes (volume exceeding 30 cm$^3$). They were first treated with combination therapy using dutasteride and tamsulosin for 2 years. After that there was randomised placebo controlled withdrawal of tamsulosin for 1 year. There was worsening of LUTS in 23% of study population after withdrawal of tamsulosin, while 9% reported worsening of LUTS in which both drugs were continued. When we compared men with severe LUTS at the start of study, this difference was even more remarkable. 42.5% of men reported worsening of symptoms when tamsulosin was withdrawn as compared to 14% of the men who reported worsening of LUTS where both drugs were continued.

**Conclusion**

BPH is a common disease of old men, which has a great impact on quality of life and health care costs. The medical treatment of BPH has improved over the past many years. Two treatment options are widely used in management of BPH, either monotherapy with alpha blockers, or combined therapy with an alpha blocker and a 5 ARI. Both these treatment options have been shown to slower down the disease progression and give symptomatic improvement, but the data is more robust in case of alpha blocker monotherapy. The research suggests that the patients with LUTS due to BPH should be treated with alpha blockers as a first choice. Although, in patients of BPH with large prostates, the combination therapy with alpha blockers and 5 ARIs have been proven to be superior to monotherapy with alpha blockers in preventing AUR and BPH surgery, and also in decreasing LUTS; but higher costs and higher number of patients needed to treat in order to prevent disease progression in a single patient are some of the concerns which makes combination therapy in clinical setting less useful in general. This is especially true considering the long term medical treatment which is needed for this disease. In case of newer therapies like NX-1207 and GNRH agonists, phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, and Intraprostatic toxin type-A injections, although initial studies seem very encouraging, more research is needed in order to widely use these treatment options in cases of BPH.
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